

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/618,261	Applicant(s) LINCOLN ET AL.	
	Examiner Yun H. Lee	Art Unit 3766	

All Participants:

 (1) Yun H. Lee.

 (2) Suneel Arora.
Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2006
Time: 7 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-50

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant to discuss amending several minor informalities in order to avoid claim objections and thus place the claims in condition for allowance. Specifically, claims 3-5, 7-13, 16, 32, 33 and 47 appeared to have incorrectly identified parent claims which consequently caused those claims to lack antecedent basis for some limitations. Applicant agreed to the amendments..